

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION.NO.7857 OF 2002**

**Dated: 16-08-2005**

Between:

T. Vanjakshi.

.Petitioner.

And

The A.P.S.R.T.C. represented by Vice Chairman & Managing Director, Mushirabad,  
Hyderabad and another.

..Respondents.

-

-

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION.NO.7857 OF 2002**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus to declare the action of the second respondent in proposing to recover the amounts from the present bills payable to the petitioner, as illegal and arbitrary.

It is submitted by the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation that similar kind of writ petitions were disposed of by this Court in W.P.No.21377 of 2001 and batch by an order dated 29-08-2002.

Following the same, this writ petition is disposed of in the following manner:

- i. The Regional Manager concerned of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation is directed to issue notice to the petitioner whose bus was hired and conduct survey in the presence of the petitioner or his duly authorized representatives for determining the actual length of the route

by taking the advice/assistance from the officials of the Roads and Buildings Department of Government of Andhra Pradesh.

- ii. After conducting such survey and determining the actual route length, the Regional Manager concerned may again verify the vehicle log books containing the operational particulars as well as certificate issued by the Traffic Supervisor of the Depot with regard to the distance operated during the specified period, take into consideration the excess schedule kilometers or deviations of the route, if any, and determine the actual distance on which the petitioner's vehicle plied,
- iii. Till such determination is made, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioners.
- iv. As and when such a finding is arrived at by the regional Manager, the petitioner undertakes to pay the amount, if any, excess paid to them; and
- v. There shall be no order as to costs.

---

16-08-2005